USOD PUBLISHERS
United Scientific Open Discovery

Journal of Economics, Innovation and Business Development
Volume 1 | Issue 2

Research Article

Pedagogical Entrepreneurship Cooperative Repositioning a Policy Framework for the use of Generative AI in Inclusive Education towards Development and Sustainability

Lawal Kamaldeen A. A[1]* and Tajudeen Adebowale[2]

[1]Department of Entrepreneurial Studies, Nigeria

[2]Department of Development Studies National Open University of Nigeria, Abuja, Nigeria

***Corresponding author:** Lawal Kamaldeen A. A is an Department of Entrepreneurial Studies, Nigeria.

Citation: Lawal Kamaldeen A. A, Tajudeen Adebowale (2026) Pedagogical Entrepreneurship Cooperative Repositioning a Policy Framework for the use of Generative AI in Inclusive Education towards Development and Sustainability. J of Eco Inn & Busi Development 1(1): 1-8.

Received Date: September 24, 2026
Accepted Date: September 29, 2026
Published Date: October 09, 2026

Abstract

This study examines how pedagogical entrepreneurship cooperatives can strategically repositioned to facilitate an inclusive, and sustainable integration of generative artificial intelligence (GenAI) technologies in Nigerian education. Considering the dearth and zeal of entrenching self-employed and innovative ingenuity as plausible alternative to white-collar job/employment syndrome. The study is backed up with grounded constructivist learning theory and entrepreneurial pedagogy. The research undertakes a comprehensive literature review and contextual analysis to identify Nigeria's preparedness regarding gaps encompassing digital infrastructure deficits, inadequate teaching capacity, absent of an in-depth policy framework, and community readiness to the challenges earmarked in the study. The study proposes a six-principles policy framework centred on equity and inclusion, pedagogical primacy, contextual suitability, sustainability, collaborative- innovation, and ethical responsibility to be operationalised through multi-level cooperative structures spanning through schools, districts, states, and national tiers. Findings revealled that while GenAI presents transformative potential for personalised learning, assistive technologies, and adaptive pedagogies. Its implementation without structured-contextual frameworks risk exacerbating educational inequalities. The study concludes that stakeholders(state actors and non- state actors) to be engaged in cooperative implementation which will offer the most viable pathway to sustainable development and equitable GenAI adoption across resource-constrained in Nigeria educational contexts, most especially. A green and exceedingly plausible developmental nexus for all and sundry toward advancing knowledge for sustainable development.

Keywords: Constructivism, Generative AI, Inclusive -Education, Pedagogical- Entrepreneurship, Sustainable-development.

Background of the Study

The emergence of GenAI technologies presents both opportunities and challenges for addressing these educational inequities. While GenAI can potentially democratize access to personalized learning experiences, provide assistive technologies for learners with disabilities, and support teachers in managing diverse classrooms, its implementation raises critical questions about digital divides, ethical considerations, data privacy, and pedagogical appropriateness. Furthermore, the sustainability dimensions of GenAI adoption encompassing environmental impact, economic viability, and long-term institutional capacity remain underexplored in educational policy discourse, particularly within African contexts.

Pedagogical entrepreneurship represents an innovative approach to educational reform that combines entrepreneurial thinking, collaborative innovation, and learner-centered practices to address systemic educational challenges. Pedagogical entrepreneurship cooperatives, as institutional frameworks, embody collective action principles where educators, administrators, technologists, and community stakeholders collaborate to identify problems, develop solutions, and implement sustainable innovations. These cooperatives can serve as catalysts for GenAI integration by fostering experimental learning environments, sharing resources and expertise, and building local capacity for technological adoption while maintaining contextual relevance and cultural appropriateness.

The Fourth Industrial Revolution has catalyzed unprecedented technological advancement in education, with generative artificial intelligence (GenAI) emerging as a transformative force capable of reshaping pedagogical practices and learning experiences. GenAI technologies, including large language models, image generation systems, and adaptive learning platforms, offer innovative solutions to longstanding educational challenges, particularly in promoting inclusive education and sustainability.

Inclusive education, as conceptualized by UNESCO and international development frameworks, emphasizes equitable access to quality education for all learners regardless of physical, cognitive, socioeconomic, or cultural differences. Despite global commitments to inclusive education articulated in Sustainable Development Goal 4, significant disparities persist in educational access and quality, particularly in developing nations. Nigeria, Africa's most populous country with over 200 million people, faces substantial educational challenges including inadequate infrastructure, teacher shortages, large class sizes, and limited resources for learners with special needs.

Despite growing international interest in GenAI applications in education, there remains a conspicuous absence of comprehensive policy frameworks that specifically address GenAI's integration into inclusive education systems, particularly in developing country contexts. Existing policies often lag behind technological developments, focus primarily on regulatory restrictions rather

than enabling innovations, and fail to consider the unique infrastructural, cultural, and pedagogical contexts of countries like Nigeria. This policy vacuum creates uncertainty for educational institutions, limits strategic investment in GenAI technologies, and potentially widens existing educational inequalities if GenAI adoption occurs haphazardly without intentional inclusive design.

This study addresses this critical gap by proposing a policy framework grounded in pedagogical entrepreneurship cooperative principles that can guide responsible, inclusive, and sustainable GenAI integration in Nigerian education systems. By examining the intersection of constructivist learning theory, entrepreneurial pedagogy, and emerging GenAI technologies, this research seeks to contribute theoretical insights and practical recommendations for policymakers, educational leaders, and practitioners navigating the complex landscape of educational technology integration in resource-constrained environments.

The rapid proliferation of generative artificial intelligence technologies in educational contexts worldwide has outpaced the development of comprehensive policy frameworks necessary to guide their ethical, inclusive, and sustainable implementation, particularly in developing nations like Nigeria. While GenAI presents transformative potential for addressing persistent educational inequities through personalized learning, assistive technologies, and adaptive pedagogies, its current integration into educational systems occurs largely without strategic coordination, quality assurance mechanisms, or consideration for diverse learner needs and contextual constraints.

Nigeria's educational system faces multifaceted challenges including inadequate infrastructure, insufficient teacher training, limited resources for inclusive education, and significant disparities in educational access and quality across regions, socioeconomic groups, and ability levels. The introduction of GenAI technologies into this complex environment without appropriate policy guidance risks exacerbating existing inequalities, creating new forms of digital exclusion, and perpetuating pedagogical approaches that contradict constructivist and inclusive education principles. Furthermore, the absence of pedagogical entrepreneurship frameworks that could facilitate collaborative innovation, local adaptation, and sustainable implementation limits the potential for GenAI technologies to meaningfully address Nigeria's educational challenges.

Current educational policies in Nigeria inadequately address the specific requirements, ethical considerations, and pedagogical implications of GenAI integration. Issues such as data privacy for vulnerable populations, algorithmic bias in educational content, digital literacy requirements for effective GenAI utilization, accessibility standards for learners with disabilities, and environmental sustainability of AI technologies remain largely unaddressed in Nigerian educational policy discourse. Additionally, the lack of institutional mechanisms such as pedagogical entrepreneurship cooperatives that could support teachers, schools, and communities in collectively navigating GenAI adoption represents a significant barrier to equitable and sustainable implementation.

This research addresses the fundamental question of how pedagogical entrepreneurship cooperatives can be strategically positioned to facilitate the development and implementation of policy frameworks that enable responsible, inclusive, and sustainable GenAI integration in Nigerian education. Without such frameworks, Nigeria risks reproducing global patterns of technological inequality where advanced educational technologies primarily benefit privileged populations while marginalized learners remain excluded, thereby undermining national commitments to inclusive education and sustainable development.

Research Objectives

To develop a comprehensive policy framework for pedagogical entrepreneurship cooperatives that facilitates the ethical, inclusive, and sustainable integration of generative AI technologies in Nigerian educational systems while addressing infrastructural constraints, capacity gaps, and diverse learner needs.

Other specific objectives

1. To critically examine the theoretical foundations and practical applications of constructivist pedagogy, pedagogical entrepreneurship, and generative AI technologies in promoting inclusive education and sustainability.
2. To assess Nigeria's current preparedness for GenAI integration in entrepreneurship cooperative in relation to international best practices and inclusive education standards.

Literature Review and Discussion of Findings

Conceptualizing Generative AI in Educational Contexts

Generative artificial intelligence refers to computational systems capable of creating new content including text, images, audio, code, and other media forms based on patterns learned from extensive training data. Recent advances in large language models such as GPT-4, Claude, and Gemini, along with image generation systems like DALL-E and Midjourney, have demonstrated remarkable capabilities in understanding context, generating human-like responses, and producing creative content across multiple domains. In educational contexts, GenAI applications span diverse functionalities including automated tutoring systems, personalized learning pathways, content generation for curriculum materials, assessment and feedback mechanisms, language learning support, and assistive technologies for learners with special needs.

Research on GenAI in education has proliferated since 2022, with scholars examining both promising applications and potential risks. Kasneci et al. (2023) conducted comprehensive analysis of ChatGPT's potential in education, identifying opportunities for personalized learning, enhanced feedback mechanisms, and support for diverse learning styles while highlighting concerns about academic integrity, overreliance on technology, and potential reinforcement of biases present in training data. Sullivan et al. (2023) explored GenAI's capacity to support differentiated instruction by generating customized learning materials adapted to individual student needs, reading levels, and learning preferences, demonstrating significant potential for inclusive education applications.

However, critical perspectives emphasize that GenAI implementation without careful pedagogical consideration may undermine deeper learning objectives, reduce critical thinking development, and perpetuate educational inequalities. Baidoo-Anu and Owusu Ansah (2023) argued that overreliance on GenAI tools could diminish students' creative thinking capacities and problem-solving skills if implemented without proper pedagogical frameworks. Furthermore, issues of algorithmic bias, data privacy, and the environmental impact of training and operating large AI models raise sustainability concerns that educational policies must address comprehensively.

Inclusive Education: Principles and Global Frameworks

Inclusive education represents a fundamental shift from deficit-based educational models toward recognition of diversity as an asset and commitment to creating learning environments that accommodate all learners regardless of ability, background, or circumstances. The Salamanca Statement (UNESCO, 1994) established foundational principles asserting that schools should

accommodate all children regardless of physical, intellectual, social, emotional, linguistic, or other conditions. Subsequent international frameworks including the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (CRPD, 2006) and Sustainable Development Goal 4 have reinforced commitments to inclusive, equitable, and quality education for all.

Research demonstrates that inclusive education promoting diversity appreciation, collaborative learning, and flexible pedagogical approaches. Florian and Black-Hawkins (2011) identified that effective inclusive education requires fundamental reconceptualization of teaching practices from "fixing" individual learners to creating responsive learning environments that embrace diversity. This shift necessitates teacher professional development, flexible curricula, diverse assessment methods, and institutional cultures that value all learners.

In developing country contexts, Singal (2019) examined inclusive education implementation in India, identifying gaps between policy commitments and ground-level realities where teachers lack training and resources to effectively support diverse learners. Similar challenges characterize Nigerian education where despite policy commitments to inclusive education, implementation remains inconsistent with significant regional variations and persistent exclusion of vulnerable populations.

Pedagogical Entrepreneurship Cooperative Structures

Pedagogical entrepreneurship cooperatives would function as member-driven organizations where teachers, schools, and educational stakeholders collaborate on GenAI integration. Cooperatives could operate at multiple levels including school-based cooperatives where teachers within a school form learning communities focused on GenAI experimentation, district or local government area cooperatives pooling resources across schools, state-level cooperatives providing coordination, professional development, and policy advocacy, and national networks facilitating knowledge exchange and standardization.

Cooperative functions would include professional learning communities where members collaboratively develop AI pedagogical competencies, resource sharing mechanisms enabling collective access to technologies beyond individual school capacity, innovation laboratories for experimenting with GenAI applications before wider implementation, quality assurance through peer review of AI-enhanced learning materials and practices, and policy advocacy representing members' interests in educational technology decision-making.

Membership in cooperatives should be voluntary with democratic governance where members elect leadership and make collective decisions about priorities and resource allocation. Cooperatives would operate on cost-sharing principles where members contribute according to capacity while ensuring that resource constraints don't exclude less affluent schools. External funding from government, donors, or private sector could supplement member contributions particularly during establishment phases.

Constructivist Learning Theory and Technology Integration

Constructivism, rooted in the work of Piaget, Vygotsky, Bruner, and Dewey, posits that learners actively construct knowledge through experiences, social interactions, and reflection rather than passively receiving information. This epistemological stance emphasizes learner agency, collaborative learning, authentic problem-solving, and the importance of contextualizing knowledge within meaningful frameworks. Social constructivism, particularly as articulated by Vygotsky, highlights the role of social interaction, cultural tools, and scaffolded support in cognitive development through concepts such as the Zone of Proximal Development.

Technology integration guided by constructivist principles focuses on tools that facilitate active learning, collaboration, creativity, and authentic problem-solving rather than mere transmission of information. Jonassen and Reeves (1996) distinguished between "learning from" technologies that deliver content to passive learners and "learning with" technologies that serve as cognitive tools supporting knowledge construction. Effective educational technology from a constructivist perspective empowers learners as creators, supports collaborative inquiry, provides scaffolding for complex tasks, and connects learning to authentic contexts.

GenAI technologies possess characteristics that align with constructivist principles when appropriately designed and implemented. These tools can serve as intelligent tutors providing scaffolded support adapted to individual learner needs, facilitate collaborative learning through shared AI assistance, support authentic problem-solving by providing information and analysis capabilities, and enable creative expression through content generation. However, GenAI can also be implemented in ways that contradict constructivist principles by replacing rather than supporting thinking, providing answers without promoting understanding, or limiting rather than expanding learner agency.

Research on constructivist technology integration emphasizes the primacy of pedagogical design over technological features. Ertmer and Ottenbreit-Leftwich (2010) identified that teacher beliefs about learning, pedagogical knowledge, and institutional support structures significantly influence whether technologies are used to support constructivist or transmissive pedagogies. This finding suggests that GenAI integration in education requires substantial teacher professional development, pedagogical innovation, and institutional cultures that value learner-centered approaches rather than mere technology adoption.

Applications of Constructivism in GenAI Integration

Constructivist learning theory provides essential foundations for pedagogically sound GenAI integration in inclusive education. Rather than positioning GenAI as knowledge delivery mechanisms that transmit information to passive learners, constructivist applications emphasize GenAI's potential as cognitive tools supporting active knowledge construction, collaborative learning, and authentic problem-solving. This section examines how constructivist principles can guide GenAI implementation in ways that enhance rather than undermine meaningful learning.

Facilitating Collaborative Knowledge Construction

Social constructivism emphasizes that learning occurs through social interaction and collaborative meaning-making. GenAI technologies can facilitate collaborative learning in multiple ways including serving as shared resources for group inquiry projects, enabling asynchronous collaboration through intelligent documentation and synthesis of group work, supporting multilingual collaboration by providing translation and communication assistance, and facilitating peer feedback through AI-mediated review processes.

In inclusive classrooms with learners having diverse communication abilities, GenAI can serve as collaborative bridges enabling participation that might otherwise prove difficult. For learners with speech or language impairments, text-to-speech and speech-to-text capabilities mediated by GenAI facilitate communication with peers. For multilingual classrooms, real-time translation enables collaboration across language differences. For learners on the autism spectrum, GenAI can help interpret social cues and facilitate social interactions in group learning contexts.

Pedagogical implementation must emphasize GenAI's role in augmenting rather than replacing peer interaction. Activities should be designed where GenAI provides tools, resources, or scaffolding for collaborative work rather than replacing human collaboration. For example, rather than students individually using GenAI to complete assignments, constructivist applications involve students collaboratively interrogating GenAI responses, evaluating AI-generated content, or using GenAI as research assistant for group projects. Such approaches maintain the social construction of knowledge while leveraging GenAI capabilities.

Lecturer/ Teacher as Facilitator in AI-Enhanced Learning Environments

Constructivist pedagogy reconfigures the teacher's role from knowledge transmitter to learning facilitator who designs experiences, asks probing questions, scaffolds thinking, and guides inquiry. In AI-enhanced learning environments, this facilitation role becomes even more crucial as teachers must orchestrate productive interactions between learners, peers, content, and AI tools.

Teachers require professional development in constructivist pedagogy specifically adapted for AI contexts including designing learning activities that productively incorporate AI tools, facilitating discussions about AI capabilities and appropriate uses, scaffolding critical evaluation of AI-generated content, recognizing when AI assistance supports versus hinders learning goals, and adapting AI applications to serve diverse learner needs. Without such professional development, teachers may default to transmissive pedagogies even when using advanced technologies.

Pedagogical entrepreneurship cooperatives can serve as crucial mechanisms for supporting teachers in developing constructivist AI pedagogy through collaborative learning communities where teachers experiment with AI applications, professional development focused on pedagogical design rather than technical skills, resource repositories of constructivist AI-enhanced activities, and peer mentoring networks supporting ongoing innovation

Supporting Authentic, Context-Based Learning

Constructivism emphasizes connecting learning to authentic contexts and real-world problems that give knowledge personal meaning. GenAI can support authentic learning by providing access to current information and diverse perspectives, enabling simulations of complex real-world scenarios, facilitating connections with experts and practitioners beyond classroom walls, and supporting project-based learning through research assistance and content creation capabilities.

For inclusive education, authentic learning approaches accommodate diverse interests, cultural backgrounds, and future aspirations by allowing learners to engage with personally meaningful contexts rather than uniform, decontextualized content. GenAI can help teachers differentiate authentic learning experiences by generating multiple context-based problems, creating culturally relevant examples, and adapting scenarios to individual learner interests and goals.

In Nigerian contexts specifically, GenAI can facilitate learning connected to local communities, cultures, and challenges while also providing windows into global perspectives. For example, GenAI could help students investigate local environmental issues, explore Nigerian historical narratives, or analyze local business cases while simultaneously accessing global knowledge and diverse viewpoints. This positions learning as connected to students' lived experiences and community contexts rather than disconnected from local realities.

Pedagogical Entrepreneurship as Educational Innovation Framework

Pedagogical entrepreneurship represents an emerging paradigm that applies entrepreneurial thinking and practices to educational contexts, emphasizing innovation, opportunity identification, creative problem-solving, and risk-taking in pursuit of educational improvement. Unlike traditional educational administration focused on maintaining existing systems, pedagogical entrepreneurship encourages educators to identify problems, experiment with solutions, learn from failures, and scale successful innovations. This approach aligns with contemporary demands for educational systems to adapt rapidly to changing societal needs, technological developments, and diverse learner populations.

Johannisson (2010) conceptualized pedagogical entrepreneurship as creating learning environments where students develop entrepreneurial competencies through experiential learning, authentic challenges, and reflective practice. Extending this concept, entrepreneurial pedagogy emphasizes learner agency, collaborative innovation, real-world problem-solving, and connections between educational experiences and societal contexts. For educators themselves, pedagogical entrepreneurship involves continuous innovation in teaching practices, collaborative experimentation with colleagues, and willingness to challenge conventional approaches when they fail to meet learner needs.

Cooperative organizational structures provide institutional frameworks for pedagogical entrepreneurship by enabling educators to collectively identify challenges, pool resources, share expertise, and support innovation while distributing risks and benefits. Educational cooperatives have demonstrated effectiveness in resource-constrained environments by leveraging collective action to achieve goals beyond individual capacity. In contexts like Nigeria where educational resources remain scarce and institutional support inconsistent, cooperative frameworks offer mechanisms for teachers and schools to collaboratively address challenges including technology integration, inclusive education implementation, and professional development.

The intersection of pedagogical entrepreneurship and GenAI integration suggests opportunities for educators to collectively experiment with AI technologies, develop contextually appropriate applications, share successful practices, and build local capacity for sustainable implementation. Pedagogical entrepreneurship cooperatives focused on GenAI could serve multiple functions including professional learning communities for AI literacy development, resource sharing mechanisms for accessing technologies, innovation incubators for developing locally relevant applications, and advocacy platforms for influencing educational policy.

GenAI Risks and Challenges for Inclusive Education

While GenAI offers substantial capabilities for inclusive education, implementation without careful consideration of risks could inadvertently reproduce or exacerbate educational inequalities. Critical examination of potential challenges informs policy framework development such as Digital Divide Concerns, Algorithmic Bias and Cultural Appropriateness, Privacy and Data Protection. Overreliance and Deskilling Concerns, Teacher Displacement Fears, GenAI Reforms and Suitability for Inclusive Education and Sustainability

GenAI Capabilities for Inclusive Education

Generative AI technologies possess specific capabilities particularly relevant for advancing inclusive education when appropriately designed and implemented. These capabilities

address longstanding challenges in accommodating learner diversity, providing individualized support, and creating accessible learning experiences.
Personalization and Adaptive Learning:
Assistive Technology Applications:
Multilingual and Multicultural Support:
Enhanced Content Accessibility:

Sustainability Dimensions of GenAI in Education
Comprehensive assessment of GenAI integration in inclusive education must consider multiple sustainability dimensions including environmental, economic, social, and institutional factors that determine long-term viability.
Environmental Sustainability:
Economic Sustainability:
Social Sustainability:
Institutional Sustainability:

Aligning GenAI Integration with Sustainable Development Goals
GenAI integration in Nigerian education should align with broader sustainable development commitments articulated in Nigeria's national development plans and international frameworks. Several SDGs connect directly to educational technology integration.

SDG 4 (Quality Education): GenAI can advance quality education through personalized learning, enhanced tcapacity, improved learning materials, and expanded access to educational resources. However, quality improvements must be equitably distributed rather than concentrated among privileged populations.

SDG 5 (Gender Equality): GenAI applications can address gender disparities through flexible learning options accommodating girls' domestic responsibilities, content reflecting gender equity, and career guidance challenging gender stereotypes. However, GenAI systems can also perpetuate gender biases if not carefully designed.

SDG 10 (Reduced Inequalities): GenAI integration should prioritize reducing rather than exacerbating inequalities through intentional focus on marginalized populations, universal design principles, and equitable resource allocation. Inclusive education fundamentally connects to inequality reduction by ensuring educational opportunities regardless of disability, socioeconomic status, location, or other factors

SDG 17 (Partnerships for Goals): Achieving sustainable GenAI integration requires partnerships across government, educational institutions, technology providers, civil society, and communities. Pedagogical entrepreneurship cooperatives embody partnership principles by facilitating collaboration for shared goals.

Discussion of Key Findings from Literature
The literature review reveals several critical insights relevant to developing policy frameworks for GenAI integration in inclusive education through pedagogical entrepreneurship cooperatives. First, while GenAI technologies demonstrate substantial potential for supporting inclusive education through personalization, assistive technologies, and adaptive learning, realizing this potential requires intentional pedagogical design grounded in constructivist principles rather than mere technology adoption. Technologies implemented without pedagogical frameworks risk reproducing or exacerbating existing inequalities rather than addressing them.
Second, inclusive education implementation in developing contexts faces persistent challenges of resource constraints, capacity gaps, and systemic barriers that technology alone cannot resolve. GenAI integration must be situated within broader educational reform efforts addressing teacher professional development, institutional cultures, infrastructure development, and community engagement. Isolated technology initiatives disconnected from comprehensive improvement strategies frequently fail to achieve sustainable impact.

Third, effective educational technology integration requires robust policy frameworks that provide clear guidance while allowing contextual adaptation, promote equity while encouraging innovation, and balance multiple stakeholder interests. However, developing such frameworks proves particularly challenging in contexts with limited regulatory capacity, resource constraints, and competing policy priorities. This suggests the need for incremental, adaptive approaches to policy development that learn from implementation experiences rather than comprehensive frameworks developed in isolation from practice.

Fourth, pedagogical entrepreneurship and cooperative organizational structures offer promising mechanisms for facilitating technology integration in resource-constrained environments by enabling collective action, resource sharing, risk distribution, and local innovation. However, establishing and sustaining such cooperatives requires supportive policy environments, initial capacity building, and mechanisms for knowledge exchange and coordination across institutions.

Finally, the literature reveals significant gaps in empirical research on GenAI applications in inclusive education within African contexts specifically. Most existing research focuses on Western educational settings with substantially different resources, infrastructure, and cultural contexts. This gap underscores the importance of contextually grounded research and policy development that considers Nigerian educational realities rather than uncritically adopting frameworks developed elsewhere.

Is Nigeria Prepared? Assessing Readiness for GenAI Integration
The assessment of Nigeria readiness for Gen AI integration are categorised into the following

Community Readiness and Stakeholder Engagement
Community attitudes toward educational technology in Nigeria reflect diverse perspectives shaped by cultural values, religious beliefs, economic circumstances, and prior experiences. Some communities enthusiastically embrace technological innovation as pathway to improved opportunities, while others express skepticism about technology replacing traditional teaching or concerns about cultural appropriateness and moral implications of AI.

Parental digital literacy and attitudes significantly influence technology integration success. Many Nigerian parents lack familiarity with AI technologies and may not understand their educational applications. Effective GenAI integration requires community engagement that explains technologies, addresses concerns, demonstrates benefits, and involves stakeholders in decision-making rather than imposing technologies without consultation.

Civil society organizations working in education, disability rights, and technology access represent important stakeholders whose perspectives should inform policy development. These organizations possess grassroots knowledge about implementation challenges, understand community concerns, and can facilitate community engagement processes.

Digital Infrastructure Assessment
Nigeria's digital infrastructure presents significant challenges for widespread GenAI integration. Internet penetration remains

approximately 55% nationally with substantial regional disparities. Rural areas experience limited connectivity with many communities lacking electricity, making internet access infeasible. Educational institutions' technology infrastructure varies dramatically. Elite This infrastructure disparity means GenAI integration would initially benefit already-privileged students while excluding majority of Nigerian learners unless substantial infrastructure investment accompanies technology introduction. Device availability presents another critical constraint. Student-to-computer ratios in Nigerian public schools often exceed 50:1 where computers exist at all. Many students lack personal devices for accessing AI tools outside school. While mobile phones are increasingly ubiquitous, many Nigerian students use basic phones without internet capability or rely on shared family devices with limited data allowances. These device constraints limit GenAI accessibility even where internet connectivity exists.

Policy and Regulatory Environment
The Nigeria Data Protection Regulation (2019) establishes general data protection principles but lacks specific provisions for educational contexts or vulnerable populations including minors and persons with disabilities. Nigeria's educational policy landscape reflects commitments to inclusive education and technology integration but lacks specific frameworks addressing AI technologies. The National Policy on Education emphasizes universal access and special needs education but contains limited guidance on educational technology implementation.

Furthermore, policy implementation capacity remains limited. Even where progressive policies exist, enforcement mechanisms, monitoring systems, and accountability structures often prove inadequate. Many Nigerian schools operate with minimal oversight, and policy compliance varies dramatically across states and regions. This implementation gap suggests that developing comprehensive GenAI policies, while necessary, must be accompanied by strengthened implementation mechanisms.

Lecturer Capacity and Professional Development
Nigerian lecturers' technological capacity varies substantially but generally remains insufficient for effective GenAI integration. Many lecturer-teachers lack basic digital literacy including email usage, word processing, and internet navigation. Computer science or ICT training in teaching education programs focuses primarily on basic office applications rather than emerging technologies or pedagogical integration of technology. Few Nigerian lecturers have encountered AI technologies in professional contexts or received training in AI-assisted pedagogy.

The shortage of qualified lecturers/teachers throughout Nigeria exacerbates capacity challenges. Many schools, particularly in rural areas, employ under-qualified lecturers/teachers lacking formal training. Introducing complex technologies into such environments without substantial capacity building risks implementation failure and potentially worsening educational quality if teachers struggle to use technologies effectively.

Institutional Readiness and Leadership Capacity
Educational institutions' readiness for GenAI integration depends significantly on leadership vision, management capacity, and institutional culture. Nigerian school leadership varies in technological sophistication, innovative orientation, and capacity for change management. Many school administrators lack experience with educational technology beyond basic computer labs and may not understand GenAI's potential or requirements. Universities and teacher training institutions demonstrate greater technological capacity and could serve as innovation hubs for GenAI experimentation and research. However, even tertiary institutions face infrastructure limitations, funding constraints, and bureaucratic structures that may impede rapid innovation.

Private sector educational providers, particularly in urban areas, demonstrate greater technological adoption and innovation capacity. Some private institutions have begun experimenting with AI-based learning platforms, adaptive assessment systems, and digital content. However, private sector innovations often remain isolated without systematic sharing with public sector or scaling beyond elite institutions. Policy frameworks should create mechanisms for productive public-private partnerships that enable knowledge sharing while ensuring equity.

Financial Resources and Sustainability
Nigeria's education sector faces chronic underfunding with budget allocations consistently below UNESCO's recommended 15-20% of national budgets. Limited educational financing constrains technology investments including infrastructure, devices, software, maintenance, and professional development. While donor funding and private sector partnerships provide some technology support, sustainable integration requires domestic resource mobilization and realistic cost assessment.

GenAI technologies may require significant ongoing costs including software subscriptions or licensing, technical support and maintenance, electricity and internet connectivity, device replacement and upgrades, and professional development.

Economic sustainability analysis should compare GenAI investments against alternative interventions that might achieve similar educational improvements at lower costs. If traditional approaches like reduced class sizes, improved teacher training, or enhanced learning materials could achieve comparable outcomes more affordably, GenAI investments may not represent optimal resource allocation for resource constrained contexts.

Proposed Policy Framework: Pedagogical Entrepreneurship Cooperatives for GenAI Integration
Based on literature review, constructivist principles, and Nigerian contextual analysis, this section proposes a comprehensive policy framework centered on pedagogical entrepreneurship cooperatives as institutional mechanisms for facilitating ethical, inclusive, and sustainable GenAI integration.

Framework Principles
The proposed framework rests on six foundational principles are:
Equity and Inclusion
Pedagogical Primacy
Contextual Suitability
Sustainability:
Collaborative Innovation:
Ethical Responsibility:

Policy Components
Government should prioritize digital infrastructure development in underserved areas as prerequisite for equitable GenAI access. The policy will comprise of Infrastructure policy, Curriculum and Pedagogy Policy, Professional Development Policy, Data Governance Policy, Quality Assurance Policy and Equity and Inclusion Policy ensuring accessibility for all learners, and regular equity audits examining whether GenAI integration reduces or exacerbates educational disparities that will mandate universal design principles in all educational AI applications, proportional resource allocation prioritizing underserved assessment policies must account for AI assistance by emphasizing higher-order thinking, creative application, and ethical AI use rather than easily AI-generated outputs in entrepreneurship cooperatives

could participate in quality review processes ensuring practitioner perspectives inform evaluations and Integration strategies that must include environmental impact assessments for AI deployments, financial sustainability plans extending beyond pilot funding, institutional capacity development preceding technology deployment, and regular evaluations assessing cost-effectiveness compared to alternative interventions.

Implementation Strategy

Policy implementation should follow phased approach beginning with pilot cooperatives in selected locations representing diverse contexts including urban and rural, northern and southern, and varying resource levels. Pilot phase would enable learning from implementation, adaptation based on experience, and evidence generation about effectiveness before wider scaling.

Pilot cooperatives should receive intensive support including technical assistance, professional development, funding for infrastructure and initial technology access, and research partnerships with universities for rigorous evaluation. After 2-3 years, successful pilot learnings should inform scaled implementation with policy adaptations reflecting pilot experiences.

National coordination mechanisms should include inter-ministerial committees spanning education, technology, finance, and social development, technical advisory boards including educators, technologists, disability advocates, and civil society representatives, and monitoring and evaluation systems tracking implementation progress and outcomes.

Stakeholder Roles and Responsibilities

Federal Ministry of Education: Develop national policy frameworks and guidelines, coordinate implementation across states, mobilize resources including budget allocations and donor partnerships, establish national monitoring systems, and facilitate knowledge sharing across states and institutions.

State and Local Education Authorities: Adapt national policies to local contexts, support establishment of pedagogical entrepreneurship cooperatives, ensure infrastructure development and resource allocation, provide technical assistance and professional development, and monitor implementation within jurisdictions.

Pedagogical Entrepreneurship Cooperatives: Facilitate professional learning communities, pool resources and share expertise, experiment with GenAI applications and pedagogies, develop and share best practices, provide peer support and mentoring, and advocate for member interests in policy development.

Teacher Training Institutions: Integrate GenAI pedagogy into pre-service teacher education, provide in-service professional development programs, conduct research on effective integration practices, serve as innovation hubs for experimentation, and partner with cooperatives for knowledge exchange.

Technology Providers: Develop contextually appropriate, accessible, and affordable GenAI applications, collaborate with educators and cooperatives in co-design processes, provide technical support and training, ensure data privacy and security, and participate in quality assurance reviews.

Civil Society Organizations: Advocate for inclusive and equitable implementation, facilitate community engagement and consultation, monitor policy implementation and outcomes particularly regarding vulnerable populations, and provide specialized expertise on disability inclusion, language rights, and equity issues.

Parents and Communities: Participate in decision-making about technology adoption, engage in awareness and digital literacy programs, support student learning with AI tools at home, and provide feedback on implementation experiences and concerns.

Conclusion

This research has explored the intersection of pedagogical entrepreneurship, generative AI technologies, constructivist pedagogy, and inclusive education within the Nigerian context, proposing a comprehensive policy framework centered on pedagogical entrepreneurship cooperatives as institutional mechanisms for facilitating ethical, equitable, and sustainable GenAI integration. The analysis reveals both tremendous potential and significant challenges in leveraging GenAI technologies to advance inclusive education and sustainability goals in resource-constrained developing country contexts.

Nigeria's current preparedness for GenAI integration reveals substantial gaps in digital infrastructure, teacher capacity, institutional readiness, policy frameworks, and community engagement necessary for successful implementation. While some pockets of innovation exist, particularly in elite urban institutions, the majority of Nigerian schools and students lack basic technological prerequisites for AI-enhanced learning. These capacity gaps underscore the necessity for phased implementation prioritizing capacity building, infrastructure development, and stakeholder engagement before large-scale deployment.

The proposed policy framework centered on pedagogical entrepreneurship cooperatives offers promising mechanisms for addressing these challenges through collective action, resource pooling, professional learning communities, and local innovation. Cooperatives can enable educators to collaboratively build capacity, experiment with appropriate applications, share successful practices, and advocate for supportive policies while distributing risks and costs that individual schools or teachers cannot bear. This cooperative approach aligns with Nigerian communal values and economic realities where collective approaches often prove more sustainable than individualized initiatives.

Effective policy implementation requires multi-stakeholder collaboration spanning government at all levels, educational institutions, teacher cooperatives, civil society organizations, technology providers, and communities. Each stakeholder possesses distinct roles and responsibilities in ensuring that GenAI integration serves inclusive education and sustainability goals. Coordination mechanisms including inter-ministerial committees, technical advisory boards, and monitoring systems can facilitate collaboration while ensuring accountability.

This research contributes to emerging scholarship on AI in education by centering developing country contexts, emphasizing inclusive education specifically, connecting technological innovation to pedagogical entrepreneurship frameworks, and proposing concrete policy mechanisms adapted to Nigerian realities. However, further research remains necessary including empirical studies of pilot cooperative implementations, longitudinal evaluation of GenAI impacts on diverse learner outcomes, cost-effectiveness analyses comparing GenAI investments to alternative interventions, and investigation of contextually appropriate GenAI applications reflecting Nigerian languages, cultures, and knowledge systems.

As Nigeria and other developing countries navigate the complex landscape of educational technology integration, this framework offers guidance for responsible innovation that prioritizes equity, respects contextual realities, engages stakeholders democratically, and maintains focus on fundamental educational

goals of developing all learners' capabilities for meaningful participation in their societies. The path forward requires neither uncritical technology adoption nor technophobic rejection, but rather thoughtful, ethical, and community-driven approaches to leveraging technological capabilities in service of inclusive and sustainable education.

References

1. Baidoo-Anu D, Owusu Ansah L (2023) Education in the era of generative artificial intelligence (AI): Understanding the potential benefits of ChatGPT in promoting teaching and learning. Journal of AI 7: 52–62. https://doi.org/10.61969/jai.1337500
2. Ertmer PA, Ottenbreit-Leftwich AT (2010) Teacher technology change: How knowledge, confidence, beliefs, and culture intersect. Journal of Research on Technology in Education 42: 255–284. https://doi.org/10.1080/15391523.2010.10782551
3. European Union (2021) Digital education action plan 2021–2027: Resetting education and training for the digital age. European Commission. https://education.ec.europa.eu/focus-topics/digital-education/action-plan
4. Florian L, Black-Hawkins K (2011) Exploring inclusive pedagogy. British Educational Research Journal 37: 813–828. https://doi.org/10.1080/01411926.2010.501096
5. Johannisson B (2010) The agony of the Swedish school when confronted by entrepreneurship. In: Skogen K, Sjovoll J (eds) Creativity and innovation: Preconditions for entrepreneurial education, pp 91–104. Tapir Academic Press.
6. Jonassen DH, Reeves TC (1996) Learning with technology: Using computers as cognitive tools. In: Jonassen DH (ed) Handbook of research for educational communications and technology, pp 693–719. Macmillan.
7. Kasneci E, Seßler K, Küchemann S, Bannert M, Dementieva D, Fischer F, Gasser U, Groh G, Günnemann S, Hüllermeier E, Krusche S, Kutyniok G, Michaeli T, Nerdel C, Pfeffer J, Poquet O, Sailer M, Schmidt A, Seidel T, et al. (2023) ChatGPT for good? On opportunities and challenges of large language models for education. Learning and Individual Differences 103: 102274. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2023.102274
8. Nigeria (2019) Nigeria data protection regulation. National Information Technology Development Agency.
9. Singal N (2019) Challenges and opportunities in efforts towards inclusive education: Reflections from India. International Journal of Inclusive Education 23: 827–840. https://doi.org/10.1080/13603116.2019.1624845
10. Sullivan M, Kelly A, McLaughlan P (2023) ChatGPT in higher education: Considerations for academic integrity and student learning. Journal of Applied Learning and Teaching 6: 1–10. https://doi.org/10.37074/jalt.2023.6.1.17
11. UNESCO (1994) The Salamanca statement and framework for action on special needs education. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization.
12. UNESCO (2019) Beijing consensus on artificial intelligence and education. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization. https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000368303
13. United Nations (2006) Convention on the rights of persons with disabilities. United Nations. https://www.un.org/development/desa/disabilities/convention-on-the-rights-of-persons-with-disabilities.html
14. United Nations (2015) Transforming our world: The 2030 agenda for sustainable development. United Nations. https://sdgs.un.org/2030agenda.

Copyright: © 2026 Lawal Kamaldeen A. A. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.